COMMUNICATIONS

The University of London Institute of Classical Studies announces that the Michael Ventris Memorial Award for 1972 has been made to Mr. Jon C. van Leuven (B. Sc.), who is working on Mycenaean cult material. A Supplementary Award has been made to Dr. Verena Kastner, who is working on parallels in the vocabulary of Mycenaean and non-Homeric Greek.

CLIPPINGS

Eleftheros Kosmos, 23 February 1973, Minas Nisiotis; Estia, 26 February 1973. Meeting of the Greek Anthropological Society. Denial of evidences of cannibalism in the Fourth Millennium, B.C.

Estia, 24 February 1973. Work of the French School of Archaeology, including reports from Mallia, and a hieroglyphic seal, to give evidence of Minoan relations with Egypt

Eleftheros Kosmos, 25 February 1973, Miltis Paraskevaidis. Excavations at the cave of Kitsos in Lavrion, with Neolithic deposits

Eleftheros Kosmos, 18 March 1973, Miltis Paraskevaidis. The most recent German excavations at Tiryns.

NYTimes, 26 March 1973. The newest frescoes from Thera, with battle scenes, and Libyan landscape.

CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editor: - Dr. Alexiou in his letter of 1 December 1972 does not answer my complaint that his <u>Gnomon</u> review of my <u>New Guide</u> attributed to me the views of Mr. Hood and then proceeded to find self-contradictions. Instead he changes his ground and quotes from the Minutes of the Columbia Seminar a passage which suggests that Hood's views on the Last Palace are far from mine. In fact Hood stressed more vigorously than ever his agreement that the destruction deposit contained later stirrup-jars and other pottery along with the tablets and sealings. This basic archaeological fact was all that I set out to establish, and Hood's finding, first proclaimed in 1964, has never to my knowledge been challenged. What has been rejected is his proposal to reclassify the LM IIIB pottery found with the tablets. It seems to me that we are close to overall agreement and that all that is needed is a clarification of certain scientific principles.

We must distinguish between fact, hypothesis, and dogma. Hood rightly stresses that the "reoccupation" is an hypothesis; in fact he says that it "was one of the most disastrous archaeological errors of all time." Dr. Alexiou counters with a scientific principle which is of wider interest. He submits that if he applies this hypothesis to other Cretan sites (where this is disputable), it must therefore also hold good of Knossos. Yet this leaves the unchallenged pattern of facts without explanation. Hood finds that the sole stratigraphic section adduced by Evans as decisive evidence for the chronology "was invented to support the 'Reoccupation' hypothesis."

NESTOR is published monthly, and distributed without charge to subscribers by the University of Wisconsin, Institute for Research in the Humanities,

Madison, Wisconsin 53706, U.S.A.

Editor: Emmett L. Bennett, Jr.

ISSN 0228-2812

No. 184

- 836 -

1 April 1973

Dr. Alexiou again changed his ground. Hood rightly says that the Last Palace, which had been repeatedly rebuilt, was a "botchy affair" compared to what the Palace had been in previous centuries. This is my own view (New Guide, 31), and we must not forget that in 1958 Blegen suggested that the Throne Room was a 13th century insertion. This admitted, Dr. Alexiou advances an interpretational dogma: such a "botchy" building could not have housed works of high artistic merit. But what Hood and I have established is that the final destruction deposit contained "the Lotus Lamp, the Spiral Cornice, the Miniature Frescoes, the Saffron Gatherer, and the Bull Relief," not to mention the tablets, sealings and stirrup-jars. This is a question of fact; yet Dr. Alexiou in this connexion speaks of my "theory" and my exaggerated pan-Mycenaean views.

Fact, hypothesis, and dogma again enter into another important association which is particularly relevant. No science can flourish which clings stubbornly to an historical picture based on false statements of fact. Much rethinking and rewriting remains to be done. A particularly important and irksome task awaits Dr. Alexiou himself. A museum is ideally an instrument of teaching and research. In archaeology it is of fundamental importance that objects should be exhibited in the context in which they were found. Why not make a start by bringing together the couple amphoras exhibited in the Post-Palatial room and some specimens chosen from the Great Deposit of tablets? This would provide an opportunity for scholars and their pupils to consider another interpretational dogma. The undeniable association of tablets with late pots was countered by J. Boardman's fire-dogma. What did Hood have to say about that? "This question had been argued in detail by Palmer and Boardman and the verdict of opinion had gone for Palmer, who had the support of Blegen to the effect that traces of burning on pottery involved in a fire destruction can be very erratic."

In conclusion let me state my conviction that we are very close to agreement. First let Aegean archaeologists concentrate on Hood's factual findings about the contents of the final destruction deposit. They have remained unchallenged for close on nine years. This done, let them devise hypotheses and advance dogmas to account for the stratigraphic picture which appears in every part of the Palace. This done, let them assess the dogmas and count the hypotheses. Then take a broader view: what other archaeological site, in the Aegean or elsewhere, has given rise to such interpretational dexterity and virtuosity as Knossos? May I finally, in all modesty, reaffirm my own single and simple purpose? Fortunately by way of illustration all I need is a quotation from Dr. Alexiou's own guide to his museum apropos of Gallery X, Case 138: "On the top shelf: Post-Palatial double vases from the west entrance of the Palace at Knossos; they were found near tablets written in the Linear B script and this is one of the pieces of evidence cited by Palmer who believes that the tablets

belong to the Mycenaean period." "North" should of course be read for "west" and "end of the Mycenaean period" would be more precise, but the ceramic association is frankly acknowledged. All the rest is hypothesis, dogma, and of course ceramic classification. In such matters I am simply an interested observer.

I feel sure that Dr. Alexiou, once he has reconsidered the matter with clear distinction between fact, hypothesis and dogma, will not be affected in his judgment by the possibility that "He [scil. L.R.P.] does not realise that he is changing the chronology of the whole of Late Minoan Crete." Did not Professor Doror Levi write some 13 years ago "We must wipe the slate clean and start all over again from the very beginning?"

Sistrans, Austria 12 March 1973 Yours, &c. L. R. Palmer

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Frisk, Hjalmar. Griechisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. III, Nachträge, Wortregister, Corrigenda, Nachwort. Heidelberg, Carl Winter 1972, pp. 312. [ISBN 353302203 X]

Mycenaean forms are referred to s. vv. α-, ἄγγελος, ἄγγος, ἀγείρω, άγορά, άγρός, ἄγω, ἀδευκής, 'Αθήνη, Αἴας, αίγ-, αίγιαλός, αἴθω, αἴνυμαι, αἴξ, αἰχμή, ἀλείφω, ἀλεπτρυών, ἀλέξω, ἄλς, ἄμπυξ, άμφίπολος, άμφορεύς, άνά, ἄναξ, ἄνεμος, ἀνήρ, ἄνθρωπος, ἀντί, ἄντλος, ἄνυμι, ἄξων, ἀπό, ἀραρίσκω, ἄργυρος, ἀρείων, ἀρήν, "Apης, 1. ἄρμα, ἀρμόζω, ἄρουρα, "Αρτεμις, ἇσαι, ἀσάμινθος, ἀσκέω, ἄστυ, 2. αὔω, 'Αχιλλεύς, βασιλεύς, βλήχων, βόσκω, βοῦς, γέρων, γλαυκός, γλυκύς, γραύς, δάμαρ, δατέομαι, δέ, -δε, δέμνια, δέμω, δεξιός, δέχομαι, 1. δέω, δήΐος, δῆμος, διάκονος, διδάσκω, δίδυμος, δίδωμι, δίκτυννα, δίκτυον, δίνη, δῖος, διφθέρα, δίψα, δοιοί, δολιχός. δρύς, δύο, έ-, 1. έανός, έγχος, είλύω, είμι, είμι, εἴρομαι, εῖρος, είς, Έντωρ, έλαία, έλαφος, έλεύθερος, έλέφας, έν, ένεκα, ένιαυτός, έννέα, ένοσις, έντεα, Ένυάλιος, έξ, έπομαι, έργον, έρι-, ἐρίπρος, ἐρινεός, Ἐρινύς, Ἑρμῆς, ἔρυμαι, ἐτεός, ἔτος, εὕριπος, εὐρύς, 1. ἔχω, ζακόρος, ζεῦγος, Ζεύς, ζέφυρος, ζεω, ήγέομαι, ήλακάτη, ήνία, ήρως, "Ηφαιστος, θάλαμος, θέμις, θεός, 1. θέω, θήρ, Θησεύς, θράνος, θρόνος, θυγάτηρ, θυεία, ίδεῖν, ἰερός, ἴημι, ἰπέτης, ἴππος, 1. ἴς, ἰστός, ἰσχύς, Ἰωνες, παίω, παπός, πάρα, πάρδαμον, παρός, 2. πάρπασον, πάρυον, πασίγνητος, Κασσάνδρα, κέαζω, κεῖμαι, κέκασμαι, κελαινός, κέρας, κέρασος, κηθίς, κηρός, κιχάνω, κίων, κλείς, κλέος, κλύω, κόγχη, κοέω, κοῖλος, κόμη, κόπρος, κορέω, κόρυδος, κόρυς, κοτύλη, κτίζω, κύανος, κύκλος, κύμινον, κύπειρον, Κύπρος, κύριος, κύτισος, κυψέλη, κῶας, κῶμη, λᾶας, λαβύρινθος, λαός, λεία, λείπω, λευκός, λέχεται, λούω, λῶμα, μάραθον, μένος, μήδομαι, 2. μήν, μήτις, μίλτος, μιν, μίνθη, ναύς, νέομαι, νήπιος, νόος, ξένος, οίνος, 1. ὄνυξ, ὄρος, ούς, ούτος, 2. ὀφέλλω, 2. ὄψ, παιάν, πάλαι, παρειαί, πᾶς, πάσσαλος, πέζα. πένω, πέλενυς, 1. πέλλα, πέρι, πίθος, πλούτος, ποικίλος, πολύς, πορφύρα, πότνια, πούς, πρίασθαι, πρῶτος, ῥάπτω, ῥήγνυμι, ῥητίνη, ρόδον, σιμός, σῖτος, σπάλαθρον, σταθμός, σφεῖς, τέκτων, τέλος, τέμνω, τῆτες, τίθημι, τρεῖς, τυρός, υἰός, φθάνω, φίλος, 2. od. 4. φοῖνιξ, φόνος, χείρ, ὧμος, 1. ὡς.

Zwierlein-Diehl, Erika. AGDS, Band 2. Staatliche Museen Preussische	
Kulturbesitz. Antikenabteilung Berlin. München, Prestel Verlag 1969,	
324, 110 pls. [R BVAB 45 (1970) 187-188 Marianne Maaskant-Kleibrin	
[] Gnomon 44:6 (Oct. 1972) 602-613 Wolfram Martini.	30279
Belardi, Walter. Problemi di cultura linguistica nella Grecia antica. R	
K Libreria Editrice 1972, pp. 141.	30280
Schema linguistico e schema corporeo nel pensiero greco arcaico	
Platone e Aristotele e la dottrina sulle lettere e la sillaba. La ce cezione del fonema in Aristotele.	on-
Kerns, J. A., & Benjamin Schwartz. A sketch of the Indo-European fini	te verb
[New York University, Department of Classics, Monographs on Medite	
ranean Antiquity] Leiden, E. J. Brill 1972, pp. 83.	[] 30281
Nibbi, Alessandra. The Sea Peoples: A re-examination of the Egyptian	
sources. Oxford, 1972, pp. 73.	30282
Palmer, L. R. Minoici e Micenei. L'antica civiltà egea dopo la decifra:	
della Lineare B [Saggi 454]. Torino, G. Einaudi 1970, pp. xxi, 299.	
Evans, John. The Early Minoan occupation of Knossos: A note on some evidence: Anatolian Studies 22 (1972) 115-128.	∏ 30284
Betts, John H. Engraved gems in the Collection of the British School: A	_
of the British School at Athens 66 (1971) 49-55, pls. 4-11.	30285
Branigan, Keith. Cycladic figurines and their derivatives in Crete: ABS	
(1972) 57-78.	[] 30286
Demacopoulou, K. A Mycenaean pictorial vase of the Fifteenth Century	
from Laconia: ABSA 66 (1972) 95-100, pl. 12.	30287
French, Elizabeth. The development of Mycenaean terracotta figurines	
66 (1972) 101-187, pls. 13-29.	[] 30288
Hammond, N. G. L. The dating of some burials in tumuli in South Alba	nia :
ABSA 66 (1972) 229-241, pls. 34-35.	
Higgins, R. A. Post-Minoan terracottas from Knossos: ABSA 66 (1972) 281, pls. 42-47.	□ 30290
Popham, Mervyn, & Elizabeth Milburn. The Late Helladic IIIC pottery	
Xeropolis (Lefkandi): a summary: ABSA 66 (1972) 333-336, pls.	
	30291
Tzedakis, Y. Minoan globular flasks: ABSA 66 (1972) 363-368, pls.	
62-65.	[] 30292
Harmatta, J. Görög nevek a hettita forrásokban [Griechische Namen in	
hethitischen Quellen]: Antik Tanulmányok 15 (1968) 181-189.	30293
Schachermeyr, Fritz, Hans-Günter Buchholz, Stylianos Alexiou, & Hara	
Hauptmann. Forschungsbericht über die Ausgrabungen und Neufunde agäischen Frühzeit, 1961-1965. Teil I: Archäologischer Anzeiger 197	
295-419.	30294
Crouwel, Joost H. Mycenaean pictorial pottery in Holland: Bulletin van	
Vereiniging van Antieke Beschaving 47 (1972) 14-30.	[] 30295
Shepard, N. The frescoes at Pylos: Dartmouth Classical Journal 5 (196	9-1970)
1-10.	[] 30296